

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		CONFIRMATION NO.
Hiroshi Terazawa	TERA3002/FJD	8949
	EXAMI	NER
	PATEL, V	ISHAL A
	ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	Hiroshi Terazawa	Hiroshi Terazawa TERA3002/FJD EXAMI PATEL, VI ART UNIT 3673

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/815,940	TERAZAWA, HIROSHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vishal Patel	3673		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2006.			
· - · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim 8 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claim 8 is directed to a different species than the one elected by the applicant, applicant has not claimed a labyrinth seal in any of the claims 1-4.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 8 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5, lines 3-5, the limitations in these lines do not provide a clear language to what applicant is trying to claim, particularly the second annular case has a cylindrical portion and a second cylindrical portion. In conclusion applicant means to claim a vertical portion that is part of the second annular case. This is what is assumed for examination purpose.

Claim 5, "its let portion from the first vertical wall", unclear what applicant is trying to claim by this language.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,940 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 8, lines 2, "a fitting cylindrical portion", is this the same fitting cylindrical portion as claimed in lines 3-5.

In conclusion applicant means to claim a vertical portion that is part of the second annular case. This is what is assumed for examination purpose.

Claim 6, "said axial lip is formed as two lips", how can an axial lip have two lips? If two lips, applicant has failed to say that both lips contact the vertical wall of the first annular case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitsue et al (US. 4,516,783).

The reference of Mitsue clearly discloses every feature claimed by applicant, see figure 5), a first annular case (30), a second annular case (40), an axial lip (lip that contacts surface 32b) on the second case that contact the first case vertical wall (32b) and a radial lip (lip 48) that directly contact one of a stationary or rotary members of a bearing unit (surface 54 is either a stationary or rotary member surface) and the bearing unit having stationary and rotary members. There is a gap between the end of 32c and end of the fitting cylindrical portion of the second annular cast that is on 102.

6. Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohtsuki et al (US. 6,637,754).

Application/Control Number: 10/815,940 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Ohtuski discloses a bearing unit (figure 28-29) having a stationary member and a rotary member (rings 1 and 2), a seal having a first annular case (11), a second annular case (12), both cases having a fitting cylindrical portion and a vertical wall extending therefrom, an annular seal member on the second case (seal formed by two axial lips 16a and 16b2, where lip 16a contacts the vertical wall), the seal is disposed in the bearing unit, the first annular case is on either the stationary or rotary members and the second annular case is on either the other of the stationary or rotary members and the seal having a second axial lip (lip 16b2).

For courtesy the limitations of claim is disclosed by Ohtsuki (figure 28). A gap exist between the stationary member or rotary member, an end of the fitting cylindrical portion of the second annular case and an end of the vertical wall of the first fitting member (L-shape gap near the numeral 17), the gap constitutes a labyrinth portion with an L-shape section.

7. Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by AAPA (figure 5 or 6).

AAPA figures 5 and 6 disclose all the limitations of the claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Even if applicant would claim that both the axial lips contact the vertical case of the first annular case, the rejection that was sent as a non-final office action would apply.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,940 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP April 13, 2006

> Vishal Patel Patent Examiner Tech. Center 3600